organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Chlorido{2-[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl- $\kappa^2 N, C^1$ }tellurium

Prakul Rakesh,^a Harkesh B. Singh^a and Ray J. Butcher^{b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington, DC 20059, USA Correspondence e-mail: rbutcher99@yahoo.com

Received 7 December 2011; accepted 17 December 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å; R factor = 0.043; wR factor = 0.096; data-to-parameter ratio = 32.3.

The crystal structure of the title compound, C₉H₁₂ClNTe, contains isolated molecules with no close Te···Cl intermolecular contacts and has the same composition as a previously published structure [Engman et al. (2004). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 179, 285–292]. However, in this case, the compound has crystallized in a centrosymmetric space group, unlike the previously published structure which contained enantiomerically pure chiral molecules. In all other aspects, the metrical parameters are similar. The molecules with a T-shaped coordination environment about the Te atom are linked into dimers by C-H···Cl interactions.

Related literature

For a related structure, see: Engman et al. (2004). For related syntheses, see: Singh et al. (1990); Kaur et al. (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data C₉H₁₂CINTe $M_r = 297.25$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 6.4514 (6) Å

b = 7.0287 (7) Å c = 23.847 (2) Å $\beta = 95.967 \ (9)^{\circ}$ V = 1075.49 (17) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Ruby	7778 measured reflections
Gemini diffractometer	3587 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2998 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
Diffraction, 2007)	
$T_{\rm min} = 0.504, T_{\rm max} = 1.000$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	111 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.096$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.20	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
3587 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.98 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.45 \times 0.36 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

 $\mu = 2.96 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 K

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Te-C1	2.116 (3)	Te-Cl	2.5657 (11)
Te-N	2.355 (3)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C9-H9C\cdots Cl^i$	0.96	2.89	3.822 (5)	163

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

RJB acknowledges the NSF-MRI program (grant CHE-0619278) for funds to purchase the diffractometer. HBS acknowledges the DST (New Delhi) for funding. PR acknowledges the CSIR for a fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PK2376).

References

Engman, L., Wojton, A., Oleksyn, B. J. & Sliwinski, J. (2004). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 179, 285-292.

Kaur, R., Singh, H. B. & Butcher, R. J. (1995). Organometallics, 14, 4755-4763. Oxford Diffraction (2007). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Singh, H. B., Sudha, N., West, A. A. & Hamor, T. A. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 907-913.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o214 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811054328]

Chlorido {2-[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl- $\kappa^2 N, C^1$ } tellurium

P. Rakesh, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher

Comment

Attempts to synthesize protonated bis[2-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl]ditelluride resulted in the formation of 2-(N,N-dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl)tellurenyl chloride, C₉H₁₂ClNTe, (**1**) a compound which had been previously prepared *via* a different method (Singh *et al.*, 1990). The structure of C₉H₁₂ClNTe contains isolated molecules with no close Te···Cl intermolecular contacts, and is chemically related to a previously published structure (Engman, *et al.*, 2004), even though it had been prepared by the same method as the title compound. However, in this case the compound has crystallized in a centrosymmetric space group, unlike the previously published structure (Engman, *et al.*, 2004) which contained enantiomerically pure, chiral molecules. In all other aspects the metrical parameters are similar. The molecules arelinked into dimers by C—H···Cl interactions.

Experimental

A stirred solution of bis[2-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl]ditelluride (Kaur *et al.*, 1995) (0.5 g, 0.94 mmol) in diethylether (10 ml) was treated with HCl (3 ml in 20 ml distilled water). The reaction mixture was further stirred for 10 min. The resulting reaction mixture was evaporated to one third of its original volume and ethanol (5 ml) was added to get a yellow solid. It was redissolved in ethanol and stored in the refrigerator to get yellow needles of X-ray quality crystals. Yield 0.2 g, 35% mp 121°C (lit value 121°C). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₂CITe: C, 36.37; H, 4.07; N, 4.37. Found C, 36.44; H, 4.04; N, 4.66.

Refinement

H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C—H distances of 0.95 - 0.97 Å [$U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(CH, CH_2)$ [$U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(CH_3)$].

Figures



Fig. 1. Diagram of the contents of the asymmetric unit of $C_9H_{12}CINTe$. Atomic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% level.



Fig. 2. The molecular packing for $C_9H_{12}CINTe$ viewed down the *c* axis. C—H···Cl secondary interactions are shown by dashed lines.

Chlorido{2-[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl- $\kappa^2 N, C^1$ }tellurium

F(000) = 568

 $\theta=5.1{-}32.6^\circ$

 $\mu = 2.96 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.836 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Irregular plate, pale yellow

 $0.45 \times 0.36 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

Cell parameters from 3931 reflections

Crystal data

C₉H₁₂ClNTe $M_r = 297.25$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 6.4514 (6) Å b = 7.0287 (7) Åc = 23.847 (2) Å $\beta = 95.967 \ (9)^{\circ}$ $V = 1075.49 (17) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Ruby Gemini diffractometer	3587 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2998 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
Detector resolution: 10.5081 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 32.7^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 5.1^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)	$k = -6 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.504, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	<i>l</i> = −35→34
7778 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.096$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.20	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0327P)^2 + 1.2847P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
3587 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
111 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 2.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.98 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Te	0.58681 (4)	0.01772 (4)	0.423777 (10)	0.03896 (9)
Cl	0.29601 (17)	-0.23269 (17)	0.41307 (5)	0.0537 (3)
Ν	0.8374 (5)	0.2560 (4)	0.41417 (13)	0.0372 (6)
C1	0.5512 (6)	0.0787 (5)	0.33640 (14)	0.0347 (7)
C2	0.3769 (6)	0.0346 (6)	0.29976 (16)	0.0413 (8)
H2A	0.2649	-0.0291	0.3127	0.050*
C3	0.3712 (7)	0.0865 (7)	0.24370 (18)	0.0505 (10)
H3A	0.2543	0.0571	0.2190	0.061*
C4	0.5367 (8)	0.1816 (6)	0.22353 (17)	0.0515 (10)
H4A	0.5311	0.2154	0.1857	0.062*
C5	0.7099 (7)	0.2255 (5)	0.26028 (16)	0.0456 (9)
H5A	0.8212	0.2899	0.2471	0.055*
C6	0.7191 (6)	0.1741 (5)	0.31665 (15)	0.0366 (7)
C7	0.9058 (6)	0.2144 (6)	0.35786 (15)	0.0416 (8)
H7A	0.9982	0.1052	0.3604	0.050*
H7B	0.9816	0.3225	0.3450	0.050*
C8	0.7367 (8)	0.4460 (6)	0.4157 (2)	0.0588 (12)
H8A	0.8373	0.5433	0.4104	0.088*
H8B	0.6837	0.4636	0.4515	0.088*
H8C	0.6240	0.4539	0.3861	0.088*
C9	1.0127 (6)	0.2409 (7)	0.45859 (18)	0.0538 (11)
H9A	1.1119	0.3397	0.4537	0.081*
H9B	1.0786	0.1191	0.4562	0.081*
H9C	0.9620	0.2539	0.4948	0.081*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Te	0.03675 (13)	0.04765 (15)	0.03311 (13)	0.00064 (11)	0.00659 (8)	0.00140 (11)
Cl	0.0484 (5)	0.0601 (6)	0.0536 (6)	-0.0112 (5)	0.0102 (4)	0.0036 (5)
Ν	0.0340 (14)	0.0375 (15)	0.0403 (16)	0.0027 (12)	0.0043 (12)	-0.0043 (13)
C1	0.0397 (17)	0.0331 (15)	0.0320 (16)	0.0061 (14)	0.0069 (13)	-0.0021 (13)
C2	0.0409 (18)	0.0428 (19)	0.0399 (19)	0.0057 (16)	0.0039 (14)	-0.0059 (16)
C3	0.057 (2)	0.050(2)	0.042 (2)	0.014 (2)	-0.0078 (18)	-0.0079 (18)
C4	0.079 (3)	0.039 (2)	0.0348 (19)	0.010 (2)	0.0016 (19)	0.0035 (16)
C5	0.066 (3)	0.0367 (18)	0.0357 (19)	-0.0026 (18)	0.0112 (17)	0.0009 (15)
C6	0.0438 (18)	0.0320 (16)	0.0348 (17)	-0.0002 (14)	0.0071 (14)	-0.0014 (14)

supplementary materials

C7	0.0404 (18)	0.049 (2)	0.0364 (18)	-0.0033 (16)	0.0097 (14)	-0.0003 (16)	
C8	0.071 (3)	0.043 (2)	0.061 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.012 (2)	
C9	0.042 (2)	0.074 (3)	0.043 (2)	-0.007 (2)	-0.0038 (17)	-0.004 (2)	
C	()						
Geometric paran	neters (A, °)						
Te—C1		2.116 (3)	C4—H4	łA	0.9	300	
Te—N		2.355 (3)	C5—C6)	1.387 (5)		
Te—Cl		2.5657 (11)	С5—Н5	5A	0.9	300	
N—C9		1.471 (5)	C6—C7	7	1.500 (5)		
N—C7		1.486 (5)	С7—Н7	7A	0.9	700	
N—C8		1.487 (5)	С7—Н7	'B	0.9700		
C1—C2		1.385 (5)	C8—H8	BA	0.9	600	
C1—C6		1.397 (5)	C8—H8	BB	0.9	600	
C2—C3		1.383 (6)	C8—H8	3C	0.9	600	
C2—H2A		0.9300	С9—Н9)A	0.9	600	
C3—C4		1.387 (7)	С9—Н9)B	0.9	600	
С3—НЗА		0.9300	С9—Н9	PC	0.9	600	
C4—C5		1.382 (6)					
C1—Te—N		76.45 (13)	C6—C5	Б—H5A	119	9.8	
C1—Te—Cl		92.14 (10)	С5—Сб	—C1	119	9.7 (4)	
N—Te—Cl		168.59 (8)	С5—Сб	6—С7	122	2.4 (3)	
C9—N—C7		111.0 (3)	C1—C6—C7		117.9 (3)		
C9—N—C8		110.7 (3)	N—C7-	C6	109.6 (3)		
C7—N—C8		111.7 (3)	N—C7-	—H7A	109.7		
C9—N—Te		111.3 (3)	C6—C7	′—Н7А	109	9.7	
C7—N—Te		102.7 (2)	N—C7-	—H7B	109	9.7	
C8—N—Te		109.3 (3)	C6—C7	′—Н7В	109	9.7	
C2—C1—C6		120.1 (3)	Н7А—0	С7—Н7В	108	3.2	
C2—C1—Te		125.0 (3)	N—C8-	—H8A	109	9.5	
C6—C1—Te		114.9 (3)	N—C8-	-H8B	109	9.5	
C3—C2—C1		119.3 (4)	H8A—0	C8—H8B	109	9.5	
С3—С2—Н2А		120.4	N—C8-	-H8C	109	9.5	
C1—C2—H2A		120.4	H8A—0	С8—Н8С	109	9.5	
C2—C3—C4		121.2 (4)	H8B—0	С8—Н8С	109	9.5	
С2—С3—НЗА		119.4	N—C9-	—Н9А	109	9.5	
С4—С3—НЗА		119.4	N—C9-	—Н9В	109	9.5	
C5—C4—C3		119.3 (4)	Н9А—6	С9—Н9В	109	9.5	
С5—С4—Н4А		120.4	N—C9-	—Н9С	109	9.5	
С3—С4—Н4А		120.4	Н9А—0	Н9А—С9—Н9С		9.5	
C4—C5—C6		120.5 (4)	Н9В—С	С9—Н9С	109	9.5	
С4—С5—Н5А		119.8					
C1—Te—N—C9		-152.7 (3)	C2—C3	—C4—C5	-0.	2 (6)	
Cl—Te—N—C9		-154.3 (3)	C3—C4		0.4	(6)	
C1—Te—N—C7		-33.9 (2)	C4—C5	G-C6-C1	-0.	5 (6)	
Cl—Te—N—C7		-35.5 (5)	C4—C5	5—C6—C7	178	3.5 (4)	
C1—Te—N—C8		84.8 (3)	C2—C1		0.3	(5)	
Cl—Te—N—C8		83.2 (5)	Te—C1	—C6—C5	-178.4 (3)		
N—Te—C1—C2		-160.8 (3)	C2—C1	—C6—C7	-1′	78.7 (3)	

supplementary materials

Cl—Te—C1—C2	18.9 (3)	Te-C1-C6-C7	2	2.6 (4)
N-Te-C1-C6	17.8 (2)	C9—N—C7—C6	1	163.4 (3)
Cl—Te—C1—C6	-162.5 (3)	C8—N—C7—C6	-	-72.6 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.1 (5)	Te-N-C7-C6	4	14.4 (3)
Te-C1-C2-C3	178.4 (3)	C5—C6—C7—N	1	145.9 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.0 (6)	C1—C6—C7—N	-	-35.1 (5)
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)				
D—H···A	D—H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C9—H9C···Cl ⁱ	0.96	2.89	3.822 (5)	163.
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$, $-y$, $-z+1$.				







Fig. 2